United States of America

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Dia	triat	of.	Puerto	Diag
レル	u = u	UI.	i acito	NICO

	United States of America					
	v. ) Case No. 17-1609 (M)					
	) Case No. 17-1609 (M) LUIS ALVAREZ-PEARSON )					
	Defendant )					
	DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL					
require	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts that the defendant be detained pending trial.					
	Part I—Findings of Fact					
$\Box$ (1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted					
	of $\Box$ a federal offense $\Box$ a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal					
	jurisdiction had existed - that is					
	□ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5) for which the prison term is 10 years or more.					
	☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.					
	□ an offense for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in					
	*·					
	□ a felony committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses:					
	☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but involves:					
	□ a minor victim					
	☐ the possession or use of a firearm or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon					
	□ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250					
□ (2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state release or local offense.					
□ (3)	A period of less than five years has elapsed since the $\Box$ date of conviction $\Box$ the defendant's release					
	from prison for the offense described in finding (1).					
□ (4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another person or the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.					
	Alternative Findings (A)					
□ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense					
	$\Box$ for which a maximum prison term of ten years or more is prescribed in .					
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).					

<sup>\*</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

		District of Puerto Rico		
□ (2)		not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition will reaspearance and the safety of the community.	onably assure	
		Alternative Findings (B)		
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear.				
<b>(</b> 2)	There is a serious	risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the commu	ınity.	
		Part II— Statement of the Reasons for Detention		
I	find that the testimo	ny and information submitted at the detention hearing establishes by 🛛 🗹 clea	r and	
convinci	ng evidence □ a	preponderance of the evidence that		
		of conditions of release may be imposed that could reasonably secure the appearance edings court proceedings and the safety of the community.	of the	
,	701 1 C 1	Part III—Directions Regarding Detention	~	
in a correpending order of	ections facility separ appeal. The defenda United States Court o	mitted to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for ate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or he nt must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense r on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the correct the United States marshal for a court appearance.	ld in custody counsel. On	
Date:	09/11/2017	s/SILVIA CARREÑO-COLL		
		Judge's Signature		
		Hon. Silvia Carreño-Coll, U.S. Magistrate Judg	e	
		Name and Title		